SmartPool: Decentralized mining pools using smart contracts

Loi Luu
Cofounder, SmartPool.io
PhD candidate, National University of Singapore


loiluu@comp.nus.edu.sg
loi_luu

Agenda

- What is pooled mining
- Why centralized mining pool is not ideal
- SmartPool

What is mining

- Probabilistically elect leader to propose blocks
  - By solving proof of work
- A way to issue more coins
  - 12.5 BTC per 1 Bitcoin block
  - 5 ETH per 1 Ethereum block

How to mine a block

- To mine a block, we need to find a nonce so that

Hash(BlockHeader, nonce) ≤ d

or

Hash(BlockHeader, nonce, dataset) ≤ d

- Finding a valid nonce is hard
  - Normal computers are nearly impossible to ever find a nonce

Mining pool

- Group of miners join hand to mine blocks together
- Rewards are split among miners based on their contribution
  - Reduce variance
  - Receive smaller rewards frequently

How mining pools work

- Pools track miners' contribution by using shares
  - A share is similar to a block, but required less work to find

    Valid block ***Hash(BlockHeader, nonce) ≤ d***

    Valid share ***Hash(BlockHeader, nonce) ≤ D*** *with D >> d*

  - Each share has probability d/D being a valid block

How mining pools work

- Pool operator records the shares, and distribute reward accordingly



Pooled mining is great

- For miners
  - Allow them to have stable income
  - Low variance means easier to plan economically
- For the network
  - Help increase the security of the network by allowing more miners to join the mining

Pooled mining issues

- Mining in cryptocurrencies is highly centralized
  - 3-5 pools control majority of hash power



Bitcoin's mining power distribution



Ethereum's mining power distribution

Pooled mining issues (2)

- Implicit trust
  - Miners trust pool to record shares and pay correctly
- Transaction censorship threat
  - Pools decide which transactions to include, not the miners
- Single point of failures

SMARTPOOL: REPLACING POOL OPERATOR BY A SMART CONTRACT

Naïve solution



Miner uses the pool's address as the coinbase address in their blocks

Naïve solution's Problems

- Number of shares is huge
  - May reach millions per block
  - Require as many messages to the contract
- Cost (gas) to verify a Ethash PoW is expensive
  - May be more than the reward per share
- Verifying a PoW was not even technically feasible
  - Require access to 1GB data set
  - Smart contract storage is costly (around $76,000 USD per GB)

Hash(BlockHeader, nonce, dataset) ≤ d

SmartPool – Solution

- Allow batch submissions (up to millions of shares)
  - significantly reduce number of messages over the network



SmartPool – Solution (2)

- Probabilistic verification to check a submission
  - Randomly verify only one share per submission
  - Pr[of cheating detected] is proportional to the amount of cheating



SmartPool: Disincentivize cheating

- Payment scheme: pay 0 for a submission if cheating detected
  - Expected reward is the same whether cheating or not
  - Miners have no incentive to cheat
- If we sample more than 1 share, can strongly disincentivize cheating miners



SmartPool: Efficiently Verify Ethash PoW

- Verify Ethash PoW was thought to be impossible
  - Although the 1GB dataset is generated from the 16 MB seed, its still expensive to store the entire 16MB
    - Would cost hundreds of Ether
    - The 16MB data set changes every 30k blocks (4-5 days)
  - Even if we can store the 16 MB seed, it is still not possible
    - Getting the element in the 1Gb dataset from the 16MB seed is expensive
    - E.g. requires 8 SHA-512 computations per element, will run out of gas

Hash(BlockHeader, nonce, dataset) ≤ d

Our solution: only verify the result of Ethash

- Observation
  - We do not need the entire 1GB data set or the 16Mb seed, we only care about the correctness 64 elements sampled by the nonce and the block header
- Solution
  - Store the Merkle root of the 1GB dataset in the contract
  - Require the miners to send the merkle proof for each data element

SmartPool's Ethash in Testnet

- We self-implement the SHA-512 in solidity
  - Cost is 200k of gas per computation
- Fully verify an Ethash PoW with 4.1M of gas
- Our solution can be used to build a lighter light-client

More in the white paper

- How to prevent miners from stealing others' shares?
- How to prevent claiming a share multiple times
  - Within a submission
  - Across submissions
- How to run mining pools for other cryptocurrencies on Ethereum

SmartPool: Features and Plan

- Features
  - Totally decentralized
  - Secure
  - Efficient and scalable
  - Open source and non-profit
- Plan
  - Testnet deployment in March
  - Mainnet deployment in June
  - Supporting other cryptocurrencies depends on funding

SmartPool.io is calling for donation



Conclusion

- Blockchain & smart contract help remove middle man/centralized operators
  - Decentralized mining pools is one example.
- Smart contract are not the solution for everything
  - More thoughts on the design and implementations required

Acknowledgement

- Ethereum Foundation

- DinarDirham

- 24 pseudonymous donors

Thank you – Q&A

http://smartpool.io

SmartPool_Prj